

DEBRIEF TEAM NAMES:	LOCATION:	DATE:
	INCIDENT TYPE: (Exercise, Live Incident, Other)	
OBJECTIVES	LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below	
<p><b>JESIP Principle - Co-location</b></p> <p>Were commanders easily identifiable? (Tabards)</p> <p>What command structures were in place?</p> <p>Did commanders meet face to face?</p> <p>Was a Forward Command Post (FCP) established?</p> <p>Did commanders have timely on-scene briefings?</p>	<p>Details</p>	

DEBRIEF TEAM NAMES:	LOCATION:	DATE:
	INCIDENT TYPE: (Exercise, Live Incident, Other)	
OBJECTIVES	LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below	
<p><b>JESIP Principle - Communication</b></p> <p>Was common terminology used?</p> <p>Was an Airwave interoperability talk group used?</p> <p>Was relevant information shared across all services and control rooms throughout the incident?</p> <p>Was METHANE used to pass information to control?</p> <p>Were effective communications established between:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Operational &amp; tactical commanders</li> <li>• Commanders and control rooms</li> <li>• Emergency service commanders and other responding organisations</li> <li>• Local emergency service control rooms</li> <li>• Emergency service control rooms and national co-ordinating centres</li> </ul>		

<b>DEBRIEF TEAM NAMES:</b>	<b>LOCATION:</b>	<b>DATE:</b>
	<b>INCIDENT TYPE: (Exercise, Live Incident, Other)</b>	
<b>OBJECTIVES</b>	<b>LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below</b>	
<p><b>JESIP Principle – Co-ordination</b> Did Commanders use the JDM as single decision model?</p> <p>Were Capabilities &amp; Responsibilities identified?</p> <p>Were joint decisions on priorities made and if so, how were the priorities arrived at and agreed?</p> <p>Were actions joined up and therefore efficient and effective?</p> <p>Were ALL on scene resources used appropriately?</p> <p>Was there an understanding of the capability, capacity and limitations of each other’s assets?</p> <p>Did someone take the lead co-ordinators role during Multi-Agency meetings?</p>		

<b>DEBRIEF TEAM NAMES:</b>	<b>LOCATION:</b>	<b>DATE:</b>
	<b>INCIDENT TYPE: (Exercise, Live Incident, Other)</b>	
<b>OBJECTIVES</b>	<b>LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below</b>	
<p><b>JESIP Principle - Joint Understanding of Risk</b></p> <p>Were threats and hazards identified, understood and treated different by each emergency service?</p> <p>Were limitations and capabilities of people and equipment identified?</p> <p>Was a joint understanding of risk achieved by sharing information about the likelihood and potential impacts of threats and hazards? e.g. sharing of risk assessments</p>		

<b>DEBRIEF TEAM NAMES:</b>	<b>LOCATION:</b>	<b>DATE:</b>
	<b>INCIDENT TYPE: (Exercise, Live Incident, Other)</b>	
<b>OBJECTIVES</b>	<b>LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below</b>	
<p><b>JESIP Principle - Shared Situational Awareness</b></p> <p>Did Commanders have a common understanding of what has happened, what is happening now and the consequences of events?</p> <p>Did each of the emergency services understand their roles in resolving the emergency?</p> <p>Was METHANE regularly used to provide a Common Operating Picture (CoP)</p> <p>Was the Joint Decision Model utilised identifying:</p> <p><b>Situation:</b>              What is happening?              What are the impacts and risks?              What might happen and what is being done about it?</p> <p><b>Direction:</b>              What end state is desired?              What is the aim and objective of the emergency response?              What priorities will inform and guide direction?</p> <p><b>Action:</b>              Were actions decided?              What needed to be done to achieve a positive end state?</p>		

<b>DEBRIEF TEAM NAMES:</b>	<b>LOCATION:</b>	<b>DATE:</b>
	<b>INCIDENT TYPE: (Exercise, Live Incident, Other)</b>	
<b>OBJECTIVES</b>	<b>LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below</b>	
<b>Any other information/issues:</b>		