

JESIP Joint Doctrine review 2021

Introduction to the review

The Joint Doctrine was last reviewed in 2016. Since then, there have been a number of significant multi-agency incidents in the UK.

During this scheduled review of the Joint Doctrine, the lessons identified in those and other incidents, have been considered. However, we are mindful that the recommendations from the Manchester Arena inquiry have not yet been published.

Therefore, the team that has produced the draft Joint Doctrine you are being asked to review, realises that further changes will need to be considered when those recommendations have been received and analysed for their impact.

We hope you understand our position, and would encourage you to provide comment both on the draft Joint Doctrine and on any other topics that you feel are relevant and should be considered.

Thank you for your time in assisting us with this review.



JESIP Joint Doctrine review 2021

About you

To help us to understand our audience, please complete this information.

* 1. Your name

* 2. Your email address?

3. Are you completing this survey as:

- An individual
- An authorised representative of your organisation

4. If you are representing the views of your organisation, please indicate the type of organisation

- Police
- Fire and rescue
- Ambulance
- Other emergency response agency
- Local authority
- Local resilience forum
- Government department
- Charity
- Prefer not to say
- Other (please specify)

5. What is the name of the organisation you work for (if applicable)?

6. What is your role in the organisation (if applicable)?

7. If you work in a response function, would you describe your role as:

Operational

Tactical

Strategic

JESIP Joint Doctrine review 2021

Your opinions of the draft Joint Doctrine

These questions aim to help us to understand how you feel about the contents of the draft Joint Doctrine

8. Having read the draft Joint Doctrine, please indicate the level to which you agree or disagree with these statements.

	Agree	Somewhat agree	Neutral	Somewhat disagree	Disagree
The revised Joint Doctrine is useful for me or my organisation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The revised Joint Doctrine is clear and understandable	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The revised Joint Doctrine will improve multi-agency working	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please provide any supporting comments for your responses, including the line number they relate to.

9. Having read the draft Joint Doctrine, please provide your feelings on the quantity of information it contains.

	Not enough	About right	Too much
Is the quantity of information in the revised Joint Doctrine	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Is the quantity of information in the revised Joint Doctrine relating to the three emergency services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Is the quantity of information in the revised Joint Doctrine relating to other agencies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please provide any supporting comments for your responses, including the line number they relate to.

10. Having read the draft Joint Doctrine, please provide your views on the balance of the content.

	Not enough	About right	Too much
The section for preparing to use the Joint Doctrine principles	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The section for responding using the Joint Doctrine principles	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The section for recovery using the Joint Doctrine principles	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please provide any supporting comments for your responses, including the line number they relate to.

JESIP Joint Doctrine review 2021

JESIP roles and responsibilities

Appendices A to E provide details of JESIP roles and responsibilities, which support the Joint Doctrine.

11. Having read the draft roles and responsibilities, please indicate the level to which you agree or disagree with these statements.

	Agree	Somewhat agree	Neutral	Somewhat disagree	Disagree
The revised roles and responsibilities are useful for me or my organisation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The revised roles and responsibilities are clear and understandable	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The revised roles and responsibilities will improve multi-agency working	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please provide any supporting comments for your responses, including the line number they relate to.

JESIP Joint Doctrine review 2021

Scope for improvement?

We would really appreciate your thoughts about the future of the JESIP Joint Doctrine.

12. Should the Joint Doctrine continue to exist and be regularly reviewed?

Yes

No

Please explain why you feel that way.

13. Are there any topics you would like removed from the Joint Doctrine?


No - leave the content as it is

Yes - I would like removed:

14. Are there any topics you would like added to the Joint Doctrine?

No - leave the content as it is

I would like added:













JESIP Joint Doctrine review 2021

Implementation of the Joint Doctrine principles

Many of the lessons from incidents relate to how well the Joint Doctrine principles were applied, or in some cases that they were not applied at all.

How do you think adoption and application of the Joint Doctrine could be improved?

15. Please rank the following ideas for how adoption and use of the Joint Doctrine could be improved

 	Improvements to the website and how the Joint Doctrine is published
 	More accessible or better training
 	Instructional videos on the website
 	Regional rollouts
 	Functional or sector rollouts

16. Do you have any other ideas to improve adoption and use of the Joint Doctrine? If so, please provide a description of your preferred idea.



JESIP Joint Doctrine review 2021

Final thoughts

What are your final thoughts on the draft Joint Doctrine?

17. Did you find any errors? If so, please provide details, including the relevant line number.

18. Would you like to be involved when the next review of the Joint Doctrine is carried out?

- Yes
- No
- Maybe