|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DEBRIEF TEAM NAMES:** | **LOCATION:** | **DATE OF EVENT:** |
|  |  |  |
| **EVENT TYPE:**  **(Exercise, Live Incident, Other)** |  |

| **JESIP Principle - Co-location** | |
| --- | --- |
| **OBJECTIVES** | **LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below** |
| Were commanders easily identifiable? (Tabards) | |  |
| What command structures were in place? | |  |
| Did commanders meet face to face? | |  |
| Was a Forward Command Post (FCP) established? | |  |
| Did commanders have timely on-scene briefings? | |  |

| **JESIP Principle – Communication** | |
| --- | --- |
| **OBJECTIVES** | **LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below** |
| Was common terminology used? |  |
| Was an Airwave interoperability talk group used? |  |
| Was relevant information shared across all services and control rooms throughout the incident? |  |
| Was METHANE used to pass information to control? |  |
| Were effective communications established between:   * Operational & tactical commanders * Commanders and control rooms * Emergency service commanders and other responding organisations * Local emergency service control rooms * Emergency service control rooms and national co-ordinating centres |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **JESIP Principle - Co-ordination** | |
| **OBJECTIVES** | **LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below** |
| Did Commanders use the JDM as single decision model? |  |
| Were Capabilities & Responsibilities identified? |  |
| Were joint decisions on priorities made and if so, how were the priorities arrived at and agreed? |  |
| Were actions joined up and therefore efficient and effective? |  |
| Were ALL on scene resources used appropriately? |  |
| Was there an understanding of the capability, capacity and limitations of each other’s assets? |  |
| Did someone take the lead co-ordinators role during Multi-Agency meetings? |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **JESIP Principle - Understanding of Risk** | |
| **OBJECTIVES** | **LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below** |
| Were threats and hazards identified, understood and treated different by each emergency service? |  |
| Were limitations and capabilities of people and equipment identified? |  |
| Was a joint understanding of risk achieved by sharing information about the likelihood and potential impacts of threats and hazards?  e.g. sharing of risk assessments |  |

| **JESIP Principle - Shared Situational Awareness** | |
| --- | --- |
| **OBJECTIVES** | **LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below** |
| Did Commanders have a common understanding of what has happened, what is happening now and the consequences of events? |  |
| Did each of the emergency services understand their roles in resolving the emergency? |  |
| Was METHANE regularly used to provide a Common Operating Picture (CoP) |  |
| Was the Joint Decision Model utilised identifying:  **Situation:**  What is happening?  What are the impacts and risks?  What might happen and what is being done about it?  **Direction:**  What end state is desired?  What is the aim and objective of the emergency response?  What priorities will inform and guide direction?  **Action:**  Were actions decided?  What needed to be done to achieve a positive end state? |  |

| **Any other information/issues:** | |
| --- | --- |
| **Other Issues** | **LEARNING/RECOMMENDATIONS – Record observations below** |
| *[Describe the issue here]* | *[Provide details and observations about the issue, what went wrong and who it affected. New rows can be added for multiple issues]* |
| *[Describe the issue here]* | *[Provide details and observations about the issue, what went wrong and who it affected. New rows can be added for multiple issues]* |
| *[Describe the issue here]* | *[Provide details and observations about the issue, what went wrong and who it affected. New rows can be added for multiple issues]* |

# Submission to Joint Organisational Learning

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Do any of the issues raised during this de-brief meet the criteria for submitting to the national JOL App?** | **Yes/ No** (delete as appropriate) |
| **Who will submit onto JOL? (agree with all parties)** | |
| **Name** | **Organisation** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Triggers for submission to JOL:

Any issues raised as part of this de-brief which meet any or all of the following criteria should be submitted onto JOL:

* relate to emergency response interoperability, primarily the use of M/ETHANE, the five JESIP principles for joint working and use of the Joint Decision Model;
* had an impact on the effectiveness of at least two of the response organisations;
* impeded successful interoperability;
* are known recurring issues; and/or
* if resolved could benefit other organisations therefore may have national impact.