A) WHY ARE WE DOING THIS?	<ul> <li>What goals are linked to this decision?</li> <li>What is the rationale, and is that jointly agreed?</li> <li>Does it support working together, saving lives and reducing harm?</li> </ul>
B) WHAT DO WE THINK WILL HAPPEN?	<ul> <li>What is the likely outcome of the action; in particular what is the impact on the objective and other activities?</li> <li>How will the incident change as a result of these actions, what outcomes do we expect?</li> </ul>
C) IN LIGHT OF THESE CONSIDERATIONS, IS THE BENEFIT PROPORTIONAL TO THE RISK?	• Do the benefits of proposed actions justify the risks that would be accepted?
D) DO WE HAVE A COMMON UNDERSTANDING AND POSITION ON:	<ul> <li>The situation, its likely consequences and potential outcomes?</li> <li>The available information, critical uncertainties and key assumptions?</li> <li>Terminology and measures being used by all those involved in the response?</li> <li>Individual agency working practices related to a joint response?</li> <li>Conclusions drawn and communications made?</li> </ul>
E) AS AN INDIVIDUAL:	<ul> <li>Is the collective decision in line with my professional judgement and experience?</li> <li>Have we (as individuals and as a team) reviewed the decision with critical rigour?</li> <li>Are we (as individuals and as a team) content that this decision is the best practicable solution?</li> </ul>